Forage fish dispersal, concentration, and stranding on ridge-and-slough habitats

Simeon Yurek¹, Donald L. DeAngelis^{1,2}, Joel C. Trexler³, Laurel G. Larsen⁴

 ¹University of Miami, Miami, FL
²Southeast Ecological Science Center, USGS, Gainesville, FL
³Florida International University, Miami, FL
⁴University of California, Berkeley, Berkeley, CA



Primary research questions

How is energy transmitted up the food chain from the aquatic system to top predators?



How do seasonal wetland dynamics, created by water flows interacting with topography, mediate this energy transfer?





Expanding and contracting wetlands



courtesy of Everglades Foundation

Wasp-waist food web

after Bakun (2006)



Spatiotemporal connectivity is critical





















Modeling Ecohydrology

Develop a numerical computer model that simulates:

- Energy transmission in an Everglades fish food web
- Biomass growth and dispersal of forage fish with different life history traits

On landscapes with:



- Seasonally dynamic hydrology
- Structurally variable ridge-and-slough topography



GEFISH Greater Everglades Fish Model





Yurek et al., 2013



GEFISH Greater Everglades Fish Model







Primary Study Units (PSUs)





Intact and degraded habitat











Fish functional groups





Fish 2



Gambusia holbrooki Eastern mosquitofish

Jordanella floridae Flagfish

Fish 3



Lucania goodei Bluefin killifish

Fish movement



- Changing water levels drive fish movement
- Increased movement in shallower depths



Fish stranding



- Water levels fall, marsh dries
- Fish trapped and don't move



Modeling movement:





Modeling movement:







Fish species:



Gambusia holbrooki Eastern mosquitofish





Lucania goodei Bluefin killifish







Model output: Stranded biomass





Model output: Stranded biomass







Fish Stranding

Directional Connectivity Index (DCI)

(Larsen et al., 2012)

Structural connectivity → Fish movement → Biomass availability

Very high DCI = Low stranding

Mixed DCI = Mixed stranding

- Spatial modeling provides insights that are not evident in hydrology and empirical data alone
- Fish can be modeled much like hydrology, but have biological behaviors
- Fish stranding is sensitive to water depths and connectivity
- <u>Topographic complexity</u> and <u>diversity of connectivity</u> are required for continuous fish stranding

Thank you!

U.S. Army Corps of Engineers U.S. Geological Survey National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration National Park Service Florida International University University of Miami

<u>Collaborators</u> Don DeAngelis Joel Trexler Laurel Larsen Pam Schofield

UNIVERSITY OF MIAMI

Wading bird prey availability

FIG. 6. Giving-up density for eight bird species preying upon fish increased with increasing water depth treatments of 10 cm, 19 cm, and 28 cm.